



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/593,645 06/13/2000		Joseph A. Manico	81254F-P	7582		
1333	7590 04/09/2002					
PATENT LEGAL STAFF			EXAMINER			
343 STATE S			HENDERSO	N, MARK T		
ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3722			
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/09/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Office Action Summary

09/593,645

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Mark Henderson

Art Unit 3722

Manico et al

		III III		J			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	eet with the corres	spondence address	3		
A SHO THE M - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C are SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. In the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the rined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In neation. s, a reply within the period will apply a ystatute, cause the	e statutory minimur nd will expire SIX (e application to bec	may a reply be time m of thirty (30) days 6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	will e mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status 1) ⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 23, 2	2002					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-34 and 44-47		is/ar	e pending in the a	application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/aı	re withdrawn froi	m consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-34 and 44-47		<u> </u>	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected t	0.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.		
· · · _	tion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are						
	The proposed drawing correction filed on The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam		a) □ approved	b) Li disapprove	d.		
13)□ a)□	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of the Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	ve been received ve been received documents have eau (PCT Rule 1 ne certified copie	d. d in Application I been received ir 7.2(a)). es not received.	No. n this National Sta			
ŕ	·	·					
Attachm	ent(s) otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413) Pape	r Nois).			
· -	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ormal Patent Application				
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:					

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXING of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

1. Claims 12-14, 21, 34, 45, 47 have been amended. Claims 35-43 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 3722

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-33 and 44-47 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manico et al (5,791,692) in view of Hambright (6,164,859).

Manico et al discloses in Fig. 1-6, an image product comprising a first support substrate (36) having a separate image layer (15-19) thereon, a second support substrate (38) having a separate image layer (21-25) thereon, wherein the second support substrate is secured to the first support substrate (Fig. 4 and 5) so as to form a dual sided image product, and wherein the first and second substrates are made from a photographic media, a thermal media and a photo graphic paper; and wherein the image layer comprises a photographic emulsion layer.

However, Manico et al does not disclose a image product having: a fold line or a plurality of fold lines about which the image product may be folded into numerous sections; image product folded in a Z-type fold; a cover for holding at least one leaf and a free standing product; wherein the numerous sections comprise a first, second and central section; wherein the side sections cover the central section; an attaching member comprising a ring to secure leaf or leaves with an opening to the cover.

Hambright discloses in Fig. 1-8, an image product (14) comprising a fold line (104) in which the image product can be folded in numerous sections (62 and 64) and wherein the image product also contains a cover (12) or free standing product for holding the image product (14),

Art Unit: 3722

an attaching member consisting of a ring (48) to secure the image product to the cover, and an opening in the leaf (66A) to secure the leaf to the ring.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Manico et al's image product to include fold lines to divide product into numerous sections or panels as taught by Hambright for the purpose of providing a panoramic display of articles.

In regards to Claims 2, 3, 10, 21, 22 and 47, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include as many fold lines and sections as desired, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

In regards to Claims 3, 12, 13, 22, it is notoriously well known to use a Z-fold to fold an article, since applicant has not disclosed that a Z-fold type solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any type of fold.

In regards to **Claim 11**, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to construct the sections in any desirable size, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Art Unit: 3722

(5,836,622).

3. Claim 34 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Manico et al in view of Hambright as applied to claims 29-33 above, and further in view of Fabel

Manico et al as modified by Hambright discloses an image product comprising all the elements as set forth in Claims 29-33, and as set forth above. However, Manico et al does not disclose an emboss line.

Fabel discloses in Col. 6, Lines 21-26, an image product having embossed lines used for folding an article.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Manico et al's and Hambright's image product to include embossed lines as taught by Fabel for the purpose of providing an uncut fold line.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on January 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the Hambright reference is not an image product, the examiner submits Hambright does indeed disclose an image product in its broadest interpretation. Manico et al disclose an image product comprising a first and second support

Art Unit: 3722

substrate both having separate image layers, wherein the second support substrate is secured to the first support substrate so as to form a dual sided image product and wherein the first and second substrates are made from a photographic media. However, Manico et al does not disclose a product having: having a fold line(s) about which the image product may be folded into numerous sections. Hambright is only relied upon for disclosing an image product (broadest interpretation) which may be folded into numerous sections and wherein the image product also includes a cover or free standing product for holding the image product, an attaching ring member and an opening in the product leaf to secure it to the ring. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Manico et al's image product to include fold lines to divide the product into numerous sections or panels as taught by Hambright for the purpose of providing a panoramic display of articles. Furthermore, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3722

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3722

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)305-3579. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

April 1, 2002

A. C. WELLINGTON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 37